Multi-level Logic Synthesis

Giovanni De Micheli

Integrated Systems Laboratory



This presentation can be used for non-commercial purposes as long as this note and the copyright footers are not removed

© Giovanni De Micheli – All rights reserved

Module 1

- **Objectives**
  - **What is multi-level logic synthesis**
  - **What are the specific goals**
  - **Stepwise transformations**

Motivation

- Multiple-level logic networks
  - Semi-custom libraries
  - Logic gates versus macro-cells
    - More flexibility
    - Privilege specific paths on others
    - Better performance



- Applicable to a large variety of designs
- The importance of logic synthesis grew in parallel with the growth of foundries for the semi custom market

Circuit model

- Logic network
  - An interconnection of blocks
    - Each block modeled by a Boolean function
  - Usual restrictions:
    - Acyclic and memoryless
    - Single-output functions
- The model has a structural/behavioral semantics
  - The structure is induced by the interconnection
- Mapped network
  - Special case when the blocks correspond to library elements

Module 2

- **Objective**
  - **Algebraic model**
  - **Algebraic division**
  - **Kernel theory and applications**

Algebraic model

- **Boolean algebra**
  - **Complement**
  - **Symmetric distribution laws**
  - ***Don't care* sets**
- **Algebraic models**
  - **Look at Boolean expressions as polynomials**
  - **Use sum of product forms**
    - **Minimal w.r.to 1-cube containment**
  - **Use polynomial algebra**

Algebraic division

- Given two algebraic expressions
  - An expression divides algebraically the other
  - $f_{quotient} = f_{dividend} / f_{divisor}$ when:
  - $f_{dividend} = f_{divisor} f_{quotient} + f_{remainder}$
  - $f_{divisor} f_{quotient} \neq 0$
  - The support of $f_{divisor}$ and $f_{quotient}$ is disjoint
- Note that the $f_{quotient}$ and $f_{divisor}$ are interchangeable

Example

- Algebraic division
  - $f_{dividend} = ac + ad + bc + bd + e$
  - $f_{divisor} = a + b$
  - Then $f_{quotient} = c + d$ and $f_{remainder} = e$
    because $(a+b)\ (c+d) + e = f_{dividend}$
    and $\{a,b\} \cap \{c,d\} = \emptyset$
- Non-algebraic division:
  - $f_i = a + bc$ and $f_j = a+b$
  - Then $(a+b)\ (a+c) = f_i$
    but $\{a,b\} \cap \{a,c\} \neq \emptyset$

An algorithm for division

- Division can be performed in different way
  - Straightforward algorithm by literal sorting
    - Simple, quadratic complexity
  - Advanced algorithm using sorting
    - N-logN complexity
  - Typically algebraic division runs fast – small-sized problems
- Definitions
  - **A** = set of cubes C^A_j of the dividend. There are l
  - **B** = set of cubes C^B_i of the divisor. There are n
  - **Q** = quotient; **R** = remainder

Example
$f_{dividend} = ac+ad+bc+bd+e; \quad f_{divisor} = a+b$

- $A = \{ac,ad,bc,bd,e\}$ and $B = \{a,b\}$
- $i = 1$:
  - $C^B_1 = a$, $D = \{ac,ad\}$ and $D_1 = \{c,d\}$
  - Then $Q = \{c,d\}$
- $i = 2 = n$:
  - $C^B_2 = b$, $D = \{bc,bd\}$ and $D_2 = \{c,d\}$
  - Then $Q = \{c,d\} \cap \{c,d\} = \{c,d\}$
- Result:
  - $Q = \{c,d\}$ and $R = \{e\}$
  - $f_{quotient} = c + d$ and $f_{remainder} = e$

Theorem

- Given algebraic expression f_i and f_j then f_i / f_j is empty when either:
  - f_j contains a variable not in f_i
  - f_j contains a cube whose support is not contained in that of any cube of f_i
  - f_j contains more terms than f_i
  - The count of any variable in f_j is higher than in f_i

Algebraic substitution

- Consider expression pairs
- Apply division (in any order)
- If quotient is not void:
  - Evaluate area and delay gain
  - Substitute $f_{dividend}$ by $j \, f_{quotient} + f_{remainder}$ where j is the variable corresponding to $f_{divisor}$
- Use filters based on previous theorem to reduce computation

Substitution algorithm

```
SUBSTITUTE(Gn(V,E)){
    for (i = 1,2,…,|V|){
        for (j = 1,2,…,|V|;j ≠ i){
            A = set of cubes of f_i;
            B = set of cubes of f_j;
            if (A,B pass the filter test){
                (Q,R) = ALGEBRAIC_DIVISION(A,B);
                if (Q ≠ Ø){
                    f_quotient = sum of cubes of Q;
                    f_remainder = sum of cubes of R;
                    if (substitution is favorable)
                        f_i = j f_quotient + f_remainder;
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Extraction

- Search for common sub-expressions
  - Single-cube extraction
  - Multiple-cube extraction (kernel extraction)
- Search for appropriate divisors
- Extraction is still done using the original kernel theory of Brayton and others [IBM]

Definitions

- Cube-free expression
  - Expression that cannot be factored by a cube
    - A variable is a cube
    - A cube is not cube free
  - Example:
    - a + bc is cube free
    - abc and ab + ac are not
- Kernel of an expression
  - Cube-free quotient of the expression divided by a cube, called co-kernel
  - Note that since divisors and quotients are interchangeable, kernels are just a subset of divisors
- Kernel set of an expression f is denoted by K(f)

Example

- f = ace + bce + de + g
- Trivial kernel search:
  - Divide f by a. Get ce. Not cube free
  - Divide f by b. Get ce. Not cube free
  - Divide f by c. Get ae + be. Not cube free
  - Divide f by ce. Get a + b. Cube free. KERNEL!
  - Divide f by d. Get e. Not cube free
  - Divide f by e. Get ac + bc + d. Cube free. KERNEL!
  - Divide f by g. Get 1. Not cube free
  - Divide f by 1. Get ace + bce + de + g. Cube free. KERNEL!
- K(f) ={ (a+b); (ac+bc+d); (ace+bce+de+g) }
- CoK(f) = { ce, e, 1}

Theorem
Brayton and McMullen

- Two expressions f_a and f_b have a common multiple-cube divisor f_d if and only if
  - There exist kernels k_a in $K(f_a)$ and k_b in $K(f_b)$ such that f_d is the sum of two (or more) cubes in $k_a \cap k_b$
- Consequences
  - If kernel intersection is void, then the search for common sub-expression can be dropped
  - If an expression has no kernels, it can be dropped from consideration
  - The kernel intersection is the basis for constructing the expression to extract

Example

- $f_x = ace + bce + de + g$
- $f_y = ad + bd + cde + ge$
- $f_z = abc$
- $K(f_x) = \{ (a+b); (ac+bc+d); (ace+bce+de+g) \}$
- $K(f_y) = \{ (a+b+ce); (cd+g); (ad+bd+cde+ge) \}$
- The kernel set of f_z is empty
- Select intersection $(a+b)$
  - $f_w = a + b$
  - $f_x = wce + de + g$
  - $f_y = wd + cde + ge$
  - $f_z = abc$

Kernel set computation

- **Naïve method**
  - **Divide function by the elements of the power set of its support set**
  - **Weed out non cube-free quotients**
- **Smart way**
  - **Use recursion**
    - **Kernels of kernels are kernels**
  - **Exploit commutativity of multiplication**

Recursive algorithm

- The recursive algorithm is the first one proposed for kernel computation and still outperforms others
- It will be explained in two steps
  - R_KERNELS (with no pointer) to understand the concept
  - KERNELS (Complete algorithm)
- The algorithms use a subroutine
  - CUBES(f,C) which returns the cubes of f whose literals include those of cube C
  - Example: f = ace +bce + de + g -- CUBES(f, ce) = ace + bce

Simple recursive algorithm

```
R_KERNELS(f){
    K = Ø;
    foreach variable x ε sup(f){
        if (|CUBES(f,x)| ≥ 2) {
            C = maximal cube containing x,  s.t. CUBES(f,C) = CUBES(f,x);
            K = K ∪ R_KERNELS(f / C);
        }
    }
    K = K U f;
    return(K);
}
```

Analysis

- The recursive algorithm does some redundant computation in the recursion
  - Example
    - Divide by a and then by b
    - Divide by b and then by a
  - Obtain duplicate kernels
- Improvement
  - Exploit commutativity of multiplication
  - Keep a pointer to the literals used so far

Recursive kernel computation

```
KERNELS(f,j){
    K = Ø;
    for i = j to n  {
        if (|CUBES(f,x_i)| ≥ 2) {
            C = maximal cube containing x_i,
            s.t. CUBES(f,C) = CUBES(f,x_i);
            if (C has no variable x_k , k < i )
                K = K U KERNELS( f / C ,i+1);
        }
    }
    K = K U f;
    return(K);
}
```

Example

- f = ace + bce+ de + g
- Literals a and b. No action required
- Literal c. Select cube ce
  - Recursive call with argument f/ce= a+b. Pointer j = 3+1
  - Call considers variables {d,e,g}. No kernel.
  - Adds a + b to the kernel set at the last step.
- Literal d. No action required.
- Literal e. Select cube e
  - Recursive call with argument f/e = ac + bc + d. Pointer j = 5+1
  - Call considers variables {g}. No Kernel
  - Adds ac+bc+d to the kernel set at the last step of recursion
- Literal g. No action required
- Add f = ace + bce + de + g to kernel set
- K(f) = { (ace+bce+de+g),(ac+bc+d),(a+b) }

Matrix representation of kernels

- f = ace + bce + de +g
- Incidence matrix
  - Cubes vs. variables
- Rectangle
  - Subset of rows/columns with all entries equal to 1
- Prime rectangle
  - Rectangle not included in another rectangle
- A co-kernel is a prime rectangle with at least two rows
- Example:
  - Prime rectangle ({1,2},{3,5})
  - Co-kernel ce

	var	a	b	c	d	e	g
cube	$R\backslash C$	1	2	3	4	5	6
ace	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
bce	2	0	1	1	0	1	0
de	3	0	0	0	1	1	0
g	4	0	0	0	0	0	1

Application of kernel methods

- **Single cube extraction**
  - **Extract one cube from two (or more) sub-expressions [Brayton]**
- **Kernel extraction**
  - **Extract a multiple-cube expression [Brayton]]**
- **Kernel-based decomposition**

Single-cube extraction

- Form an auxiliary expression, which is the union (sum) of all local expression
- Find the largest co-kernel
  - Corresponding kernel must belong to two (or more) different expressions
  - Use additional variables to tag the expressions
- Extract chosen co-kernel
- The problem can be well visualized by a matrix representation and the extraction of a prime rectangle

(c) Giovanni De Micheli

Example

- **Expressions:**
  - $f_x = ace + bce + de + g$
  - $f_s = cde + b$
- **Auxiliary function:**
  - $f_{aux} = ace + bce + de + g + cde + b$
- **Tagging:**
  - $f_{aux} = xace + xbce + xde + xg + scde + sb$
- **Co-kernel:** ce
- **After cube extraction**
  - $f_z = ce$
  - $f_x = z(a+b) + de + g$
  - $f_s = zd + b$

		var	a	b	c	d	e	g
cube	ID	$R \backslash C$	1	2	3	4	5	6
ace	x	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
bce	x	2	0	1	1	0	1	0
de	x	3	0	0	0	1	1	0
g	x	4	0	0	0	0	0	1
cde	s	5	0	0	1	1	1	0
b	s	6	0	1	0	0	0	0

Multiple-cube extraction

- We need a cube/kernel matrix
  - Relabel cubes by new variables
  - Kernels are now cubes in these new variables
- Find a prime rectangle
- Equivalently, find a co-kernel of the auxiliary expression that is the sum of the relabeled expressions

Example

- $f = ace + bce$
  - $K(f) = \{(a+b)\}$
- $g = ae + be + d$
  - $K(g) = \{(a+b); (ae + be + d)\}$
- Relabeling: $x_a = a$; $x_b = b$; $x_{ae} = ae$; $x_{be} = be$; $x_d = d$
  - Then $K(f) = \{\{x_a, x_b\}\}$ and $K(g) = \{\{x_a, x_b\}, \{x_{ae}, x_{be}, x_d\}\}$
  - $f_{aux} = f\, x_a\, x_b + g\, x_a\, x_b + g\, x_{ae}\, x_{be}\, x_d$
  - $CoK(f_{aux}) = x_a\, x_b$
- Go back to original variables
  - Extract $(a + b)$ from f and g

Kernel-based decomposition

- There are many different ways of performing decomposition
  - Several classic approaches (e.g., Ashenhurst & Curtis)
- Algebraic decomposition
  - Find good algebraic divisors
  - Use kernels and decompose recursively

Example

- **Decompose** $f = ace + bce + de + g$
- **Select kernel** $ac + bc + d$
- **Decompose as:** $f = te + g$; $t = ac + bc + d$
- **Recur on quotient** t
- **Select kernel** $a + b$
- **Decompose** $t = sc + d$; $s = a + b$; $f = te + g$;

Summary
algebraic methods

- Algebraic methods abstract functions as polynomials
  - Polynomial division
- Methods are fast and widely applicable
- Algebraic methods miss opportunities for optimization
  - As compared to Boolean methods
- Algebraic transformations are reversible
  - Ease transformations back and forward to trade off area and speed